> Appl. No. 10/605,457 Amdt. Dated Aug. 09, 2005 Reply to Office action of June 17, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. Objection to the specification:

The disclosure is objected to because both the numerical characters "16" and "18" have been used to designate "the processor". Appropriate correction is required.

5 Response:

Paragraphs [0006] and [0007] have been amended to correct this error. Acceptance of the corrected specification is requested.

2. Objection to claim 16:

Claim 16 is objected to due to the following informalities: The "the fixing track" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Response:

Claim 16 has been amended accordingly. Acceptance of the amended claim 16 is respectfully requested.

15

3. Rejection of claims 1-2, 7-9, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a):

Claims 1-2, 7-9, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broder et al. (US 2004/0196629) in view of Tozune et al. (US 6,775,136).

20 Response:

Claim 1 has been amended to overcome this rejection. The currently amended claim 1 now contains the limitation "the slide is capable of sliding up and down along the pipeline". This limitation is fully supported in the specification and the drawings, and no new matter has been added through this amendment.

25

In the claimed invention, the positioning protrude of the slide engages with the

> Appl. No. 10/605,457 Amdt. Dated Aug. 09, 2005 Reply to Office action of June 17, 2005

> > predetermined pattern of the pipeline for allowing the slide to move up and down the pipeline for adjusting the position of the slide with respect to the pipeline.

On the other hand, Broder teaches an adaptor 66 that connects with an adaptor plate 60 for securing the shroud 16 to a location near the heat sink. Although the adaptor 66 is movable on the adaptor plate 60, Broder does not teach that the adaptor 66 can slide up and down along the shroud 16. Instead, Broder only teaches that the adaptor 66 can be connected to the adaptor plate 60 at several planar positions of the adaptor plate 60.

10

5

Since Tozune also does not teach this claimed limitation, the currently amended claim 1 is patentably distinct from the cited prior art. Claims 2, 7-9, and 16 are dependent on claim 1, and should be allowed if claim 1 is allowed. Reconsideration of claims 1-2, 7-9, and 16 is respectfully requested.

15

4. Rejection of claims 17-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a):

Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broder et al.

Response:

20

Claims 17-18 are dependent on claim 1, and should be allowed if claim 1 is allowed. Reconsideration of claims 17-18 is respectfully requested.

In view of the above statements in favor of patentability, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

25

From: 8064986673

To: 00215712738300

Page: 13/13

Date: 2005/8/9 下午 04:37:40

Appl. No. 10/605,457 Amdt. Dated Aug. 09, 2005 Reply to Office action of June 17, 2005

Sincerely yours,

	Will	Non	Han
--	------	-----	-----

Date: August 9, 2005

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562 Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com

10

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.)